Dynamic Programming Part 2

6.7 Sequence Alignment in Linear Space

Q. Can we avoid using quadratic space?

Easy. Optimal value in O(m + n) space and O(mn) time.

- Compute OPT(i, •) from OPT(i-1, •).
- No longer a simple way to recover alignment itself.

Theorem. [Hirschberg 1975] Optimal alignment in O(m + n) space and O(mn) time.

- Clever combination of divide-and-conquer and dynamic programming.
- Inspired by idea of Savitch from complexity theory.

- Let f(i, j) be shortest path from (0,0) to (i, j).
- Observation: f(i, j) = OPT(i, j).



- Let f(i, j) be shortest path from (0,0) to (i, j).
- Can compute $f(\cdot, j)$ for any j in O(mn) time and O(m + n) space.



- Let g(i, j) be shortest path from (i, j) to (m, n).
- . Can compute by reversing the edge orientations and inverting the roles of (0, 0) and (m, n)



- Let g(i, j) be shortest path from (i, j) to (m, n).
- Can compute $g(\cdot, j)$ for any j in O(mn) time and O(m + n) space.



Observation 1. The cost of the shortest path that uses (i, j) is f(i, j) + g(i, j).



Observation 2. let q be an index that minimizes f(q, n/2) + g(q, n/2). Then, the shortest path from (0, 0) to (m, n) uses (q, n/2).



Divide: find index q that minimizes f(q, n/2) + g(q, n/2) using DP. Align x_q and $y_{n/2}$. Conquer: recursively compute optimal alignment in each piece.



Sequence Alignment: Running Time Analysis Warmup

Theorem. Let T(m, n) = max running time of algorithm on strings of length at most m and n. $T(m, n) = O(mn \log n)$.

Pf. T(m,n) is monotone nondecreasing in both m and n.

 $T(m,n) \le 2 T(m, n/2) + O(mn)$

which solves to $T(m,n) = O(mn \log n)$

Remark. Analysis is not tight because two sub-problems are of size (q, n/2) and (m - q, n/2). In next slide, we save log n factor.

Sequence Alignment: Running Time Analysis

Theorem. Let T(m, n) = max running time of algorithm on strings of length m and n. T(m, n) = O(mn).

- Pf. (by induction on n)
- . O(mn) time to compute $f(\cdot, n/2)$ and $g(\cdot, n/2)$ and find index q.
- T(q, n/2) + T(m q, n/2) time for two recursive calls.
- Choose constant c so that:

- Base cases: m = 2 or n = 2.
- Inductive hypothesis: $T(m, n) \leq 2cmn$.
- $T(m,n) \leq T(q,n/2) + T(m-q,n/2) + cmn$ $\leq 2cqn/2 + 2c(m-q)n/2 + cmn$ = cqn + cmn - cqn + cmn= 2cmn

All-Pairs Shortest Paths Problem







Dijkstra's Single Source Algorithm

• Use Dijkstra's algorithm n times, once with each of the n vertices as the source vertex.



Performance



- Time complexity is O(n³) time.
- Works only when no edge has a cost < 0.

Dynamic Programming Solution

- Time complexity is Theta(n³) time.
- Works so long as there is no cycle whose length is < 0.
- When there is a cycle whose length is < 0, some shortest paths aren't finite.
 - If vertex 1 is on a cycle whose length is -2, each time you go around this cycle once you get a 1 to 1 path that is 2 units shorter than the previous one.
- Simpler to code, smaller overheads.
- Known as Floyd's shortest paths algorithm.



- First decide the highest intermediate vertex (i.e., largest vertex number) on the shortest path from i to j.
- If the shortest path is i, 2, 6, 3, 8, 5, 7, j the first decision is that vertex 8 is an intermediate vertex on the shortest path and no intermediate vertex is larger than 8.
- Then decide the highest intermediate vertex on the path from i to 8, and so on.

Problem State

- (i,j,k) denotes the problem of finding the shortest path from vertex i to vertex j that has no intermediate vertex larger than k.
- (i,j,n) denotes the problem of finding the shortest path from vertex i to vertex j (with no restrictions on intermediate vertices).

Cost Function

A Mo 1

 Let c(i,j,k) be the length of a shortest path from vertex i to vertex j that has no intermediate vertex larger than k.

c(i,j,n)

- c(i,j,n) is the length of a shortest path from vertex i to vertex j that has no intermediate vertex larger than n.
- No vertex is larger than n.
- Therefore, c(i,j,n) is the length of a shortest path from vertex i to vertex j.



c(i,j,0)

- c(i,j,0) is the length of a shortest path from vertex i to vertex j that has no intermediate vertex larger than 0.
 - Every vertex is larger than 0.
 - Therefore, c(i,j,0) is the length of a single-edge path from vertex i to vertex j.



- The shortest path from vertex i to vertex j that has no intermediate vertex larger than k may or may not go through vertex k.
- If this shortest path does not go through vertex k, the largest permissible intermediate vertex is k-1. So the path length is c(i,j,k-1).



• Shortest path goes through vertex k.

- We may assume that vertex k is not repeated because no cycle has negative length.
- Largest permissible intermediate vertex on i to k and k to j paths is k-1.

- i to k path must be a shortest i to k path that goes through no vertex larger than k-1.
- If not, replace current i to k path with a shorter i to k path to get an even shorter i to j path.

- Similarly, k to j path must be a shortest k to j path that goes through no vertex larger than k-1.
- Therefore, length of i to k path is c(i,k,k-1), and length of k to j path is c(k,j,k-1).
- So, c(i,j,k) = c(i,k,k-1) + c(k,j,k-1).

monthe 0 i

- Combining the two equations for c(i,j,k), we get c(i,j,k) = min{c(i,j,k-1), c(i,k,k-1) + c(k,j,k-1)}.
- We may compute the c(i,j,k)s in the order k = 1, 2, 3, ..., n.

Floyd's Shortest Paths Algorithm

- Time complexity is $O(n^3)$.
- More precisely Theta(n³).
- Theta(n³) space is needed for c(*,*,*).

\cap	
A	ALL DIA LOUGH
Peril	A LO LA
†	ŀ

Space Reduction

- c(i,j,k) = min{c(i,j,k-1), c(i,k,k-1) + c(k,j,k-1)}
- When neither i nor j equals k, c(i,j,k-1) is used only in the computation of c(i,j,k).



• So, c(i,j,k) can overwrite c(i,j,k-1).

Space Reduction

- c(i,j,k) = min{c(i,j,k-1), c(i,k,k-1) + c(k,j,k-1)}
- When i equals k, c(i,j,k-1) equals c(i,j,k).
 c(k,j,k) = min{c(k,j,k-1), c(k,k,k-1) + c(k,j,k-1)} = min{c(k,j,k-1), 0 + c(k,j,k-1)} = c(k,j,k-1)
- So, when i equals k, c(i,j,k) can overwrite c(i,j,k-1).
- Similarly, when j equals k, c(i,j,k) can overwrite c(i,j,k-1).
- So, in all cases c(i,j,k) can overwrite c(i,j,k-1).

Floyd's Shortest Paths Algorithm

```
for (int k = 1; k <= n; k++)
for (int i = 1; i <= n; i++)
for (int j = 1; j <= n; j++)
c(i,j) = min{c(i,j), c(i,k) + c(k,j)};</pre>
```

- Initially, c(i,j) = c(i,j,0).
- Upon termination, c(i,j) = c(i,j,n).
- Time complexity is Theta(n³).
- Theta(n^2) space is needed for c(*,*).

	\cap
	A
	20.00
Į	-
1	

Building The Shortest Paths

- Let kay(i,j) be the largest vertex on the shortest path from i to j.
- Initially, kay(i,j) = 0 (shortest path has no intermediate vertex).

for (int k = 1; k <= n; k++)
for (int i = 1; i <= n; i++)
for (int j = 1; j <= n; j++)
if (c(i,j) > c(i,k) + c(k,j))
$$\{kay(i,j) = k; c(i,j) = c(i,k) + c(k,j); \}$$

Example



0	6	5	1	10	13	14	11
10	0	15	8	4	7	8	5
12	7	0	13	9	9	10	10
15	5	20	0	9	12	13	10
6	9	11	4	0	3	4	1
3	9	8	4	13	0	1	5
2	8	7	3	12	6	0	4
5	11	10	6	15	2	3	0

kay Matrix

Shortest Path



Shortest path from 1 to 7.

Path length is 14.

- 04004885
- 80850885
- 70050065
- 80802885
- 84800880
- 77777007
- 04114800
- 7777060

- The path is 1 4 2 5 8 6 7.
- kay(1,7) = 8
 - $1 \longrightarrow 8 \longrightarrow 7$
- kay(1,8) = 5



• kay(1,5) = 4



- 04004885
- 80850885
- 70050065
- 80802885
- 84800880
- 77777007
- 04114800
- 77777060

• The path is 1 4 2 5 8 6 7.



- kay(1,4) = 0
- $1 4 \longrightarrow 5 \longrightarrow 8 \longrightarrow 7$
- kay(4,5) = 2
- $1 \xrightarrow{4} 2 \xrightarrow{5} 5 \xrightarrow{8} 7$
- kay(4,2) = 0
- $1 4 2 \longrightarrow 5 \longrightarrow 8 \longrightarrow 7$

- 04004885
- 80850885
- 70050065
- 80802885
- 84800880
- 77777007
- 04114800
- 77777060

- The path is 1 4 2 5 8 6 7.
- $1 4 2 \longrightarrow 5 \longrightarrow 8 \longrightarrow 7$
- kay(2,5) = 0
 - $1 4 2 5 \longrightarrow 8 \longrightarrow 7$
- kay(5,8) = 0
 - 1 4 2 5 8 -> 7
- kay(8,7) = 6
 - $1 4 2 5 8 \longrightarrow 6 \longrightarrow 7$

- 04004885
- 80850885
- 70050065
- 80802885
- 84800880
- 77777007
- 04114800
- 77777060

- The path is 1 4 2 5 8 6 7.
 - $1 4 2 5 8 \longrightarrow 6 \longrightarrow 7$
- kay(8,6) = 01 4 2 5 8 6 \longrightarrow 7
- kay(6,7) = 0 1 4 2 5 8 6 7

Output A Shortest Path

public static void outputPath(int i, int j)

- {// does not output first vertex (i) on path
 - if (i == j) return;
 - if (kay[i][j] == 0) // no intermediate vertices on path
 - System.out.print(j + " ");
 - else {// kay[i][j] is an intermediate vertex on the path

outputPath(i, kay[i][j]); outputPath(kay[i][j], j);

Time Complexity Of outputPath



O(number of vertices on shortest path)

Single Source Shortest Paths with Negative Weights Single-Source All-Destinations
Shortest Paths With Negative Costs

- Directed weighted graph.
- Edges may have negative cost.
- No cycle whose cost is < 0.
- Find a shortest path from a given source vertex
 s to each of the n vertices of the digraph.

Single-Source All-Destinations Shortest Paths With Negative Costs

- Dijkstra's O(n²) single-source greedy algorithm doesn't work when there are negative-cost edges.
- Floyd's Theta(n³) all-pairs dynamicprogramming algorithm does work in this case.

Bellman-Ford Algorithm

- Single-source all-destinations shortest paths in digraphs with negative-cost edges.
- Uses dynamic programming.
- Runs in O(n³) time when adjacency matrices are used.
- Runs in O(ne) time when adjacency lists are used.





- To construct a shortest path from the source to vertex v, decide on the max number of edges on the path and on the vertex that comes just before v.
- Since the digraph has no cycle whose length is < 0, we may limit ourselves to the discovery of cycle-free (acyclic) shortest paths.
- A path that has no cycle has at most n-1 edges.

Problem State



- Problem state is given by (u,k), where u is the destination vertex and k is the max number of edges.
- (v,n-1) is the state in which we want the shortest path to v that has at most n-1 edges.

Cost Function



- Let d(v,k) be the length of a shortest path from the source vertex to vertex v under the constraint that the path has at most k edges.
- d(v,n-1) is the length of a shortest unconstrained path from the source vertex to vertex v.
- We want to determine d(v,n-1) for every vertex v.

Value Of d(*,0)

d(v,0) is the length of a shortest path from the source vertex to vertex v under the constraint that the path has at most 0 edges.



- d(s,0) = 0.
- d(v,0) = infinity for v != s.

Recurrence For d(*,k), k > 0

- d(v,k) is the length of a shortest path from the source vertex to vertex v under the constraint that the path has at most k edges.
- If this constrained shortest path goes through no edge, then d(v,k) = d(v,0).

Recurrence For d(*,k), k > 0

• If this constrained shortest path goes through at least one edge, then let w be the vertex just before v on this shortest path (note that w may be s).



- We see that the path from the source to w must be a shortest path from the source vertex to vertex w under the constraint that this path has at most k-1 edges.
- d(v,k) = d(w,k-1) + length of edge (w,v).

Recurrence For d(*,k), k > 0

• d(v,k) = d(w,k-1) + length of edge (w,v).



- We do not know what w is.
- We can assert
 - d(v,k) = min{d(w,k-1) + length of edge (w,v)}, where the min is taken over all w such that (w,v) is an edge of the digraph.
- Combining the two cases considered yields:
 - $d(v,k) = \min\{d(v,0),$

 $min{d(w,k-1) + length of edge (w,v)}}$

```
Pseudocode To Compute d(*,*)
// initialize d(*,0)
d(s,0) = 0;
d(v,0) = infinity, v != s;
// compute d(*,k), 0 < k < n
for (int k = 1; k < n; k++)
   d(v,k) = d(v,0), 1 \le v \le n;
   for (each edge (u,v))
      d(v,k) = min\{d(v,k), d(u,k-1) + cost(u,v)\}
```

Complexity



- Theta(n) to initialize d(*,0).
- Theta(n²) to compute d(*,k) for each k > 0 when adjacency matrix is used.
- Theta(e) to compute d(*,k) for each k > 0 when adjacency lists are used.
- Overall time is Theta(n³) when adjacency matrix is used.
- Overall time is Theta(ne) when adjacency lists are used.
- Theta(n²) space needed for d(*,*).

p(*,*)

- Let p(v,k) be the vertex just before vertex v on the shortest path for d(v,k).
- **p(v,0)** is undefined.
- Used to construct shortest paths.



Source vertex is 1.





d(v,k)

p(**v**.**k**)





Observations

• $d(v,k) = \min\{d(v,0),$

 $min\{d(w,k-1) + length of edge(w,v)\}\}$

- d(s,k) = 0 for all k.
- If d(v,k) = d(v,k-1) for all v, then d(v,j) = d(v,k-1), for all $j \ge k-1$ and all v.
- If we stop computing as soon as we have a d(*,k) that is identical to d(*,k-1) the run time becomes
 - $O(n^3)$ when adjacency matrix is used.
 - O(ne) when adjacency lists are used.

Observations

The computation may be done in-place.
 d(v) = min{d(v), min{d(w) + length of edge (w,v)}}
 instead of

 $d(v,k) = \min\{d(v,0),$

 $min\{d(w,k-1) + length of edge (w,v)\}\}$

- Following iteration k, $d(v,k+1) \le d(v) \le d(v,k)$
- On termination d(v) = d(v,n-1).
- Space requirement becomes O(n) for d(*) and p(*).